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#### Abstract

Two new tetra-Cd ${ }^{\mathrm{II}}$-substituted vanadogermanate frameworks $\left\{(\mathrm{CdX})_{4} \mathrm{Ge}_{8^{-}}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{IV}}{ }_{10} \mathrm{O}_{46}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\left[\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{III}}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right]_{4}\left(\mathrm{GeO}_{2}\right)_{4}\right\} \cdot 8 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \quad(\mathrm{X}=$ ethylenediamine (en, 1) and 1,2diaminopropane (dap, 2)) were hydrothermally prepared and characterized by IR spectra, elemental analysis, powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD), energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX), X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), thermogravimetric analysis (TGA), and Xray single-crystal diffraction. Both are isomorphic, and their 3-D frameworks are made up of tetra-Cd ${ }^{\mathrm{II}}$-substituted $\left\{(\mathrm{CdX})_{4} \mathrm{Ge}_{8} \mathrm{~V}^{\mathrm{IV}}{ }_{10} \mathrm{O}_{46}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right\}^{12-}$ fundamental building units interconnected through planar tetra- $\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{III}}\left[\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{III}}{ }_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{8}\right]^{8-}$ clusters and tetrahedral $\mathrm{GeO}_{4}$ bridges. In the unique $\left\{(\mathrm{CdX})_{4} \mathrm{Ge}_{8} \mathrm{~V}^{\mathrm{IV}}{ }_{10} \mathrm{O}_{46}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right\}^{12-}$ cage, four $\left[\mathrm{Ge}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}\right.$ ] dimers and four $\mathrm{CdO}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ trigonal prisms are alternately concatenated by $\mu_{3}-\mathrm{O}$ bridges to create a round $\left\{\mathrm{Ge}_{8} \mathrm{Cd}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{28}(\mathrm{X})_{4}\right\}^{16-}$ fragment, five $\mathrm{VO}_{5}$ groups are linked by sharing edges to generate a pentanuclear $\left[\mathrm{V}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{17}\right]$ subunit, and then the $\left\{\mathrm{Ge}_{8} \mathrm{Cd}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{28}(\mathrm{X})_{4}\right\}^{16-}$ fragment is sandwiched by two $\mathrm{V}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{17}$ subunits via sharing O-atoms producing a $D_{4 h}$-symmetric $\left\{(\mathrm{CdX})_{4} \mathrm{Ge}_{8} \mathrm{~V}^{\mathrm{IV}}{ }_{10} \mathrm{O}_{46}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right\}^{12-}$ cage with a free water molecule located at the center. As we know, both display unprecedented 3-D organic-inorganic hybrid frameworks built up from the largest number of transition-metal-substituted vanadogermanate $\left\{(\mathrm{CdX})_{4} \mathrm{Ge}_{8} \mathrm{~V}^{\mathrm{IV}}{ }_{10} \mathrm{O}_{46}\right\}^{12-}$ cluster shells linked by both $\mathrm{GeO}_{4}$ tetrahedra and rare $\left[\mathrm{V}_{4}^{\mathrm{II}} \mathrm{O}_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{8}\right]^{8-}$ clusters. Magnetic measurements reveal the antiferromagnetic couplings within the magnetic vanadium centers.


## - INTRODUCTION

Integration of heteroatoms into polyoxometalate (POM) backbones is a feasible and promising strategy for making a new class of heteropolyoxometalates, which is of high relevance due to their abundant structures and conceivable applications from magnetism/catalysis to medicine/electrochemistry. ${ }^{1,2}$ Vanadium is of particular interest since it shows flexible coordination as well as a great variety of chemical valence states. During the past several years, significant progress has already been made in the syntheses of vanadoarsenates (VAOs) ${ }^{3}$ and vanadoantimonates ${ }^{4}$ by incorporating group 15 elements ( $\mathrm{As}^{\text {III }} / \mathrm{Sb}^{\text {III }}$ ) into the well-known Keggin $\left[\mathrm{V}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{42}\right.$ ] cluster shell, where the $\left[\mathrm{As}^{\mathrm{II}}{ }_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}\right] /\left[\mathrm{Sb}^{\mathrm{II}}{ }_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}\right]$ dimers, formed by two vertex-sharing $\left[\mathrm{AsO}_{3}\right] /\left[\mathrm{SbO}_{3}\right]$ trigonal pyramids, are substituted for the $\mathrm{VO}_{5}$ groups on the $\left[\mathrm{V}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{42}\right]$ shell. However, they are not effective on making high-dimensional frameworks because of the presence of the electron lone pairs on $\mathrm{As}^{\mathrm{III}} / \mathrm{Sb}^{\text {III }}$ atoms. The $\left[\mathrm{Si}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}\right] /\left[\mathrm{Ge}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}\right]$ dimers made up of two $\mathrm{SiO}_{4} /$ $\mathrm{GeO}_{4}$ tetrahedra, obviously superior to the $\left[\mathrm{As}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}\right] /\left[\mathrm{Sb}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}\right]$ units, can increase the likelihood of producing extended structures via self-condensation of $\left[\mathrm{Si}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}\right] /\left[\mathrm{Ge}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}\right]$ units or offering good donors for electrophilic metal ions. Despite the fact that some vanadosilicates (VSOs) and vanadogermanates (VGOs) have been reported, ${ }^{5}$ little progress has been made in
making 3-D VSOs/VGOs. ${ }^{6}$ More importantly, the construction of 3-D frameworks based on the self-condensation of $\left[\mathrm{Si}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}\right] /$ [ $\mathrm{Ge}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ ] units or the connection of other cluster linkers has not been documented to date.

Moreover, it is expected that the second transition-metal (TM) ions are further incorporated into heteropolyoxovanadate (HPOV) skeletons, which may produce fascinating hybrids with novel frameworks and performances. Nevertheless, almost no progress has been made in investigating TMsubstituted HPOVs because it is quite difficult to isolate the stable vacant HPOV precursors in contrast to a large number of reported TM-substituted polyoxotungstates. ${ }^{2}$ With the aim of making TM-substituted HPOVs, we began to exploit the VAO system in the presence of the second TM cations by using a hydrothermal technique and successfully obtained a class of mono- and di-TM-substituted VAOs $\left(\mathrm{TM}=\mathrm{Zn}^{\mathrm{II}}, \mathrm{Cd}^{\mathrm{II}}, \mathrm{Ni}^{\mathrm{II}}\right) .{ }^{7}$ Subsequently, similar conditions were employed to make Zn-/ Cd-substituted VAOs with mixed organic amines. ${ }^{8}$ Compared to TM-substituted VAOs, TM-substituted VGOs are scarce and only a di-Cd ${ }^{\mathrm{II}}$-substituted VGO $\left\{\left[(\mathrm{en})_{2} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{Cd}_{2} \mathrm{Ge}_{8} \mathrm{~V}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{48}\right][\mathrm{Cd}\right.$ (en) $\left.\left.)_{2}\right]_{2}\right\} \cdot 7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ has been made. ${ }^{6 \mathrm{c}}$ Notably, the largest number

[^0]Table 1. Summary of Crystal Data of Compounds 1 and 2

|  | $\mathbf{1}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| formula | $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{66} \mathrm{Cd}_{4} \mathrm{Ge}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{71} \mathrm{~V}_{14} \mathrm{Cd}_{4} \mathrm{Ge}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{71} \mathrm{~V}_{14}$ |  |
| fw | 3444.81 | 3500.63 |
| crystal system | tetragonal | tetragonal |
| space group | $P 4 / m n c$ | $P 4 / m n c$ |
| $a, \AA$ | $17.682(4)$ | $17.985(3)$ |
| $b, \AA$ | $17.682(4)$ | $17.985(3)$ |
| $c, \AA$ | $14.556(3)$ | $14.597(3)$ |
| $V, \AA^{3}$ | $4551.0(17)$ | $4721.6(13)$ |
| $Z$ | 2 | 2 |
| $T, \mathrm{~K}$ | $293(2)$ | $293(2)$ |
| calcd density, $\mathrm{g} \cdot \mathrm{cm}^{-3}$ | 2.489 | 2.462 |
| abs coeff, mm | 6.044 |  |
| $F(000)$ | 6.269 | 3188 |
| $2 \theta($ max $)$, deg | 3204 | 49.99 |
| total reflns colected | 49.99 | 27951 |
| unique reflns | 28691 | 2123 |
| no. of param | 2037 | 151 |
| $R_{1}[I>2 \sigma(I)]$ | 151 | 0.0487 |
| $w R_{2}$ | 0.0523 | 0.1415 |

of TM ions in a single VGO cluster is only 2 to date. Therefore, the syntheses of novel VGOs with a larger number of TM atoms have become an attractive and challenging goal.

With regard to all aspects stated above, we began to explore and make high-dimensional TM-substituted VGOs based on the following considerations: (a) Incorporation of TM ions into VGO cages may previously create unseen TM-substituted HPOVs coupled with unexpected properties; (b) the $\left[\mathrm{Ge}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}\right]$ units can be further condensed or offer specific coordination sites for TM connectors into extended frameworks. Herein, the syntheses, crystal structures, and magnetic properties of two novel 3-D tetra-Cd ${ }^{\mathrm{II}}$-substituted VGOs $\left\{(\mathrm{CdX})_{4} \mathrm{Ge}_{8} \mathrm{~V}^{\mathrm{VV}}{ }_{10} \mathrm{O}_{46}\right.$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\left[\mathrm{V}^{\text {III }}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right]_{4}\left(\mathrm{GeO}_{2}\right)_{4}\right\} \cdot 8 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \quad[\mathrm{X}=$ ethylenediamine (en, 1) and 1,2-diaminopropane (dap, 2)] are reported, which are the first examples of 3-D frameworks based on the largest number of TM-substituted VGO $\left\{(\mathrm{CdX})_{4} \mathrm{Ge}_{8} \mathrm{~V}^{\mathrm{IV}}{ }_{10} \mathrm{O}_{46}\right\}^{12-}$ cluster shells linked by two kinds of the linkers, $\mathrm{GeO}_{4}$ tetrahedra and $\left[\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{III}} \mathrm{O}_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{8}\right]^{8-}$ clusters.

## EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Materials and Methods. The chemicals are of analytical grade and were commercially purchased, without further purification. Elemental analyses were performed by a Vario EL III elemental analyzer for $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{N}$ and a Jobin Yvon ultima 2 inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry spectrometer for $\mathrm{Cd} / \mathrm{Ge} / \mathrm{V}$, respectively. IR spectra were recorded on an ABB Bomen MB 102 series IR spectrophotometer ( KBr pellets) over the region 400-4000 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. XPS data were obtained from an Axis Ultra X-ray photoelectron spectrometer. TGA measurements were carried out by a Mettler Toledo TGA/SDTA $851^{\mathrm{e}}$ analyzer under a flowing air atmosphere between 25 and $1000{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at a heating speed of $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{min}^{-1}$. Magnetic susceptibility data were measured with a Quantum Design MPMS XL5 SQUID magnetometer on polycrystalline samples in an external magnetic field of 1 kOe at $2-300 \mathrm{~K}$. All the magnetic susceptibility data were corrected from diamagnetic contributions estimated from Pascal's constants. PXRD data were obtained by a Bruker D8 Advance XRD diffractometer with $\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation $(\lambda=1.54056 \AA)$. EDX spectroscopy was recorded on a JEOL JSM-6700F field-emission scanning electron microscope.

Synthesis of 1. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{VO}_{3}(0.0216 \mathrm{~g}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{GeO}_{2}(0.0380 \mathrm{~g}$, $0.37 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{CdCl}_{2} \cdot 2.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.0507 \mathrm{~g}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BO}_{3}(0.0158 \mathrm{~g}$, $0.26 \mathrm{mmol})$, and en $(0.10 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added to $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ solution
with stirring. The final mixture ( $\mathrm{pH} 9.7-10$ ) was moved to a 35 mL Teflon-lined autoclave, sealed, and kept at $170^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 96 h , and then it was cooled to ambient temperature. Red block-shaped crystals were harvested (Yield: $36.2 \%$ on the base of $\mathrm{GeO}_{2}$ ). Similarly, $\mathbf{1}$ can also be made by using $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{4}$ in place of $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BO}_{3}$, but the yield and crystallinity of $\mathbf{1}$ are lowered. Notably, the crystallinity of $\mathbf{1}$ was highly sensitive to the pH of the reaction system, and only varied from 9.7 to 10. When the pH was beyond this range, $\mathbf{1}$ could not be afforded. Anal. Calcd (\%) for $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{66} \mathrm{Cd}_{4} \mathrm{Ge}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{71} \mathrm{~V}_{14}$ : C, 2.79; H, 1.93; N, 3.25; Cd, 13.05; Ge, 25.29; V, 20.70. Found: C, 2.84; H, 2.15; N, 3.31; Cd, 12.63; Ge, 24.88; V, 20.41. IR $(\mathrm{KBr}): v=3341(\mathrm{~m}), 3275(\mathrm{~m})$, 3159(m), 2926(w), 2876(w), 1634(m), 1590(m), 1459(w), 1394(m), 1329(w), 1256(w), 1002(m), 944(m), 777(s), 668(w), 551(s), $472(\mathrm{~m}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$.

Synthesis of 2. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{VO}_{3}(0.0406 \mathrm{~g}, 0.35 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{GeO}_{2}(0.0714 \mathrm{~g}$, $0.68 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{CdCl}_{2} \cdot 2.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.1432 \mathrm{~g}, 0.49 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $(0.0608 \mathrm{~g}, 0.48 \mathrm{mmol})$, and dap $(0.40 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ solution with stirring. The final mixture ( $\mathrm{pH} 9.7-10$ ) was sealed in a 35 mL Teflon-lined autoclave, kept at $170{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 96 h , and then cooled to ambient temperature. Red block-shaped crystals were afforded (Yield: $51.6 \%$ on the base of $\mathrm{GeO}_{2}$ ). Anal. Calcd (\%) for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{74} \mathrm{Cd}_{4} \mathrm{Ge}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{71} \mathrm{~V}_{14}$ : C, 4.12; H, 2.13; N, 3.20; Cd, 12.84; Ge, 24.90; V, 20.37. Found: C, 4.18; H, 2.31; N, 3.31; Cd, 12.03; Ge, 24.36; V, 20.22. IR (KBr): $v=3321(\mathrm{~m}), 3265(\mathrm{~m}), 3196(\mathrm{~m}), 2963(\mathrm{w})$, 2928(w), 1635(m), 1585(m), 1456(w), 1386(m), 1346(w), 1304(w), 1201(w), 974(m), 939(m), 774(s), 678(w), 547(s), 479(m) cm ${ }^{-1}$.

X-ray Crystallographic Determination. Intensity data of 1 and 2 were collected on a Rigaku Mercury CCD diffractometer equipped with graphite monochromated Mo $\mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation $(\lambda=0.71073 \AA)$ at 293(2) K. Routine Lorentz polarization and absorption corrections were applied. Both structures were solved by direct methods and refined by the full-matrix least-squares technique with SHELXL-97. The H -atoms of the water molecules were not located. H -atoms of the $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N}$ atoms in 1 were geometrically placed and isotropically refined as riding atoms. For 2, H -atoms on $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N}$ atoms of the disordered dap ligands were not added. Crystallographic data for $\mathbf{1}$ and 2 are illustrated in Table 1. The CCDC numbers are 725351 and 948521 for 1 and 2 , respectively.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Structural Description. 1 and 2 were made via hydrothermal reactions of $\mathrm{GeO}_{2}, \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{VO}_{3}$, and $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BO}_{3} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$. $2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in an amine $-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ mixed solvent. Although $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BO}_{3}$ is not incorporated into the final structures, attempts to obtain both 1
and 2 proved fruitless in the absence of $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BO}_{3}$ or by the addition of other strong acids (such as $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{HNO}_{3}$, and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ ) instead of $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BO}_{3}$ under hydrothermal conditions, showing that the weak acid $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BO}_{3}$ or $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ may act as structure directing agents in the reaction process. In addition, our many paralleling experiments verified that the incorporated $\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}$ ions cannot be replaced by other TM ions such as $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$, $\mathrm{Co}^{2+}, \mathrm{Ni}^{2+}, \mathrm{Zn}^{2+}$, and $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ under similar reaction conditions, the primary possible reason of which being that the $\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}$ ions in $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ adopt the trigonal prismatic geometry coordinating to the backbone of vanadogermanates. However, it is difficult for other TM cations to utilize the trigonal prism configurations, thus leading to the irreplaceability of $\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}$ ions with other TM ions. Moreover, in the reported di-Cd ${ }^{\mathrm{II}}$ substituted VGO $\left\{\left[(\mathrm{en})_{2} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{Cd}_{2} \mathrm{Ge}_{8} \mathrm{~V}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{48}\right]\left[\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{en})_{2}\right]_{2}\right\}$. $7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, ${ }^{6 \mathrm{c}}$ the substituted $\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}$ ions also display the trigonal prisms, which further supports our above speculation. Actually, unsaturated complex $\left[\mathrm{TM}(\text { amine })_{x}\right]^{2+}\left(\mathrm{TM}=\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}, \mathrm{Co}^{2+}\right.$, $\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}, \mathrm{Zn}^{2+}, \mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$; amine $=$ chelating amines) cations usually act as bridges or pendants, ${ }^{3,4,6 \mathrm{~b}}$ but it is very difficult for them to substitute the $\mathrm{VO}_{5}$ groups on the skeleton of the $\left[\mathrm{V}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{42}\right]$ cage. The experimental and simulated PXRD patterns match well, suggesting that the samples are pure (Figure S1, Supporting Information).

Since $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ are isomorphic, herein, we only discussed the structure of 1.1 crystallizes in the high-symmetric tetragonal space group $P 4 / m n c$. The structure of 1 is built by tetra- $\mathrm{Cd}^{\mathrm{II}}$ substituted $\left\{[\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{en})]_{4} \mathrm{Ge}_{8} \mathrm{~V}^{\mathrm{IV}}{ }_{10} \mathrm{O}_{46}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right\}^{12-}\left(\left\{\mathrm{Cd}_{4} \mathrm{Ge}_{8} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathbf{1 0}}\right\}\right)$ basic building units, which are interconnected via planar tetra$\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{III}}\left[\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{III}} \mathrm{O}_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{8}\right]^{8-}\left(\left\{\mathbf{V}_{4}\right\}\right)$ clusters and tetrahedral $\mathrm{GeO}_{4}$ bridges giving rise to an interesting 3-D organic-inorganic hybrid framework. The unique $\left\{\mathbf{C d}_{4} \mathbf{G e}_{8} \mathbf{V}_{10}\right\}$ cage contains ten condensed $\mathrm{VO}_{5}$ square pyramids, four $\mathrm{CdO}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ trigonal prisms, and eight $\mathrm{GeO}_{4}$ tetrahedra (Figures 1a-c): the $\mathrm{CdO}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$


Figure 1. Structural views of 1. (a) The circular $\left\{\mathrm{Ge}_{8} \mathrm{Cd}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{28}(\mathrm{en})_{4}\right\}^{16-}$ fragment. (b) The pentanuclear $\mathrm{V}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{17}$ fragment. (c) The $\{[\mathrm{Cd}-$ (en) $\left.]_{4} \mathrm{Ge}_{8} \mathrm{~V}^{\mathrm{IV}}{ }_{10} \mathrm{O}_{46}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right\}^{12-}$ cluster. (d) The $\left[\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{III}}{ }_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{8}\right]^{8-}$ cluster in 1 showing the $\mu_{5}-\mathrm{O}$ coordination mode (symmetric operation code: (i) $1+x, 1-y, z$; (ii) $-x, 2-y,-z$; (iii) $x, y,-z$ ).
trigonal prism contains two nitrogen and four bridging oxygen atoms from one en ligand and four adjacent $\mathrm{VO}_{5}$ groups, respectively; two $\mathrm{GeO}_{4}$ groups are condensed by corner-sharing to afford the $\left[\mathrm{Ge}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}\right]$ dimer; four $\left[\mathrm{Ge}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}\right]$ dimers and four $\mathrm{CdO}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ trigonal prisms are alternately joined by the $\mu_{3}-\mathrm{O}$ bridges to create a circular $\left\{\mathrm{Ge}_{8} \mathrm{Cd}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{28}(\mathrm{en})_{4}\right\}^{16-}\left(\left\{\mathrm{Cd}_{4} \mathbf{G e}_{8}\right\}\right)$
fragment (Figure 1a); five $\mathrm{VO}_{5}$ groups are linked by sharing edges to form a pentanuclear $\left[\mathrm{V}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{17}\right]$ fragment (Figures 1 b , S2a, Supporting Information); and then the $\left\{\mathbf{C d}_{4} \mathbf{G e}_{8}\right\}$ fragment is sandwiched by two $\left\{\mathrm{V}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{17}\right\}$ fragments via sharing O-atoms producing a $D_{4 h}$-symmetric $\left\{\mathbf{C d}_{4} \mathbf{G e}_{8} \mathbf{V}_{10}\right\}$ cage with a free water molecule at the center (Figures 1c, S2b, Supporting Information). This cage is closely related to the $\left[\mathrm{V}_{12} \mathrm{Ge}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{48}\right]$ shell in $\mathrm{K}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{Ge}_{8} \mathrm{~V}_{12} \mathrm{SO}_{52} \cdot 10 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (Figure 2d), ${ }^{5 \mathrm{a}}$ so it can also


Figure 2. Combined polyhedral/ball-and-stick representations of known VGO cluster cages: (a) $\left[\mathrm{V}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{42}\right]$ cage, (b) $\left[\mathrm{V}_{16} \mathrm{Ge}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{46}\right]$ cage, (c) $\left[\mathrm{Ge}_{6} \mathrm{~V}_{15} \mathrm{O}_{48}\right]$ cage, (d) $\left[\mathrm{V}_{14} \mathrm{Ge}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{50}\right]$ cage, (e) $\left[\mathrm{V}_{12} \mathrm{Ge}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{48}\right]$ cage, (f) $\left[(\mathrm{en})_{2} \mathrm{Cd}_{2} \mathrm{Ge}_{8} \mathrm{~V}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{48}\right]$ cage, and (g) $\left\{\mathrm{Cd}_{4} \mathrm{Ge}_{8} \mathrm{~V}_{10}\right\}$ cage in 1.
be considered that four $[\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{en})]^{2+}$ ions replace four $\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{O}$ caps located between adjacent $\left[\mathrm{Ge}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}\right]$ units on the $\left[\mathrm{V}_{12} \mathrm{Ge}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{48}\right]$ shell to form the hybrid $\left\{\mathrm{Cd}_{4} \mathrm{Ge}_{8} \mathrm{~V}_{10}\right\}$ shell, in which the two quadrate windows are further covered by two $\mathrm{VO}_{5}$ polyhedra forming a hybrid tetra-Cd ${ }^{\mathrm{II}}$-substituted $\left\{\mathbf{C d}_{4} \mathbf{G e}_{8} \mathbf{V}_{10}\right\}$ cluster (Figure 2g). So far, though a few spherical TM-substituted VAOs and VGOs (Figure 2f) have been reported, ${ }^{7,6 c}$ the number of TM ions is no more than two; however, the number of $\mathrm{Cd}^{\mathrm{II}}$ ions in $\mathbf{1}$ is up to four. Therefore, $\mathbf{1}$ is the first example of the highest number of TMs in [ $\mathrm{V}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{42}$ ] (Figure 2a) derivatives. The configuration of such a cage in 1 significantly differs from those reported for VGO cages $\left[\mathrm{V}_{16} \mathrm{Ge}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{46}\right]$ (Figure 2b), ${ }^{5 \mathrm{a}}\left[\mathrm{Ge}_{6} \mathrm{~V}_{15} \mathrm{O}_{48}\right]$ (Figure 2c), ${ }^{6 \mathrm{c}}$ $\left[\mathrm{Ge}_{8} \mathrm{~V}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{50}\right]$ (Figure 2d), ${ }^{\text {5a,b }}$ and $\left[\mathrm{V}_{12} \mathrm{Ge}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{48}\right]$ (Figure 2e), ${ }^{5 \mathrm{a}}$ which are typical derivatives of the $\left[\mathrm{V}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{42}\right]$ clusters by replacing $\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{O}$ groups with $\left\{\mathrm{Ge}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}\right\} /\left\{\mathrm{Ge}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right\}$ groups. ${ }^{5}$ As a result, the $\left\{\mathbf{C d}_{\mathbf{4}} \mathbf{G e}_{\mathbf{8}} \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{1 0}}\right\}$ (Figures 1c, 2e) cluster in $\mathbf{1}$ is an absolutely novel structural motif.

Besides the large $\left\{\mathbf{C d}_{4} \mathbf{G e}_{8} \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{1 0}}\right\}$ cluster, another interesting $\left\{\mathrm{V}_{4}\right\}$ cluster is observed and formed by four $\left\{\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{III}} \mathrm{O}_{6}\right\}$ octahedra by sharing edges and corners (Figure 1d). Four $\mathrm{V}^{\text {III }}$ atoms are aligned in a planar quadrangular motif with $\mathrm{V} \ldots \mathrm{V}$ distances of $2.467(3) \AA$. Two terminal oxygen atoms of two $\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{IV}} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ square pyramids cap the quadrangle at the top and bottom, showing an uncommon $\mu_{5}$ - O atom coordination mode. Four $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ molecules bridge four V $\cdots$ V edges, respectively, and two $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ molecules locate at two terminal positions of each $\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{III}}$ atom. The $\mathrm{V}(3)-\mathrm{O}(9 / 10)$ distances are in the range $1.939(17)-$
2.039(19) $\AA$, which coincide with the corresponding bond lengths of the regular [ $\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{II}} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ ] octahedra, ${ }^{9}$ but the slightly elongated $\mathrm{V}(3)-\mathrm{O}(8)$ distance $(2.278(8) \AA)$ might be due to the influence of the O8 atom coordinating to five V -atoms. A similar phenomenon is observed in other polyoxovanadates. ${ }^{10}$ If the $\left\{\mathrm{V}_{4}\right\}$ cluster is regarded as an isolated structure, it would have the point group symmetry of $D_{4 h}$. Among reported oxo-/ thio- $\mathrm{V}_{4}$ clusters, most of them own a tetrahedral $\mathrm{V}_{4}$ core, ${ }^{11}$ and circle/butterfly/cuprous oxo- $\mathrm{V}_{4}$ clusters have also been made. ${ }^{12}$ But no planar quadrangular oxo- $\mathrm{V}_{4}$ cluster has been observed to date. Therefore, the $\left\{\mathbf{V}_{4}\right\}$ cluster in $\mathbf{1}$ shows another innovative structural motif. In addition, bond valence sum ( $\sum_{\mathrm{s}}$ ) calculations ${ }^{13}$ indicate that the chemical valences of V1, V2, and V3 centers are $+4,+4$, and +3 in 1 and 2 (Table S1, Supporting Information). This assignment was also confirmed by XPS spectra (Figure S3, Supporting Information). The peaks of $V 2 p_{3 / 2}$ and $V 2 p_{1 / 2}$ appearing at 514.5 and 522.0 eV for 1 and 514.6 and 522.1 eV for 2 illuminate the existence of the $\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{III}}$ ions, whereas the signals of $\mathrm{V} 2 \mathrm{p}_{3 / 2}$ and $\mathrm{V} 2 \mathrm{p}_{1 / 2}$ centered on 515.4 and 523.1 eV for $\mathbf{1}$ and 515.5 and 523.1 eV for 2 expound the existence of the $\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{IV}}$ ions. These binding energies are consistence with those reported values. ${ }^{14}$ Furthermore, in XPS spectra, the binding-energy discrepancy $(\Delta)$ between O1s and $\mathrm{V} 2 \mathrm{p}_{3 / 2}$ levels has often served in identifying the chemical valences of vanadium ions within oxo-vanadium clusters. The $\Delta$ values of $\mathrm{V}^{3+}$ and $\mathrm{V}^{4+}$ are 14.9 and 14.0 eV for 1 and 14.8 and 13.9 eV for 2 , respectively, and are very close to the reported $\Delta$ values of $\mathrm{V}^{3+}(14.84 \mathrm{eV})$ and $\mathrm{V}^{4+}(14.16 \mathrm{eV})$, which further indicate the coexistence of $\mathrm{V}^{3+}$ and $\mathrm{V}^{4+} .{ }^{14,15}$

Each $\left\{\mathbf{C d}_{4} \mathbf{G e}_{\mathbf{8}} \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{1 0}}\right\}$ cluster in $\mathbf{1}$ links adjacent ones by eight tetrahedral $\mathrm{GeO}_{4}$ and two square $\left\{\mathbf{V}_{4}\right\}$ bridges (Figures 3a,b),


Figure 3. (a) Ball-and-stick view of the $\left\{\mathrm{Cd}_{4} \mathrm{Ge}_{8} \mathrm{~V}_{10}\right\}$ cluster linked by $\left\{\mathrm{V}_{4}\right\}$ clusters and the bridging $\mathrm{GeO}_{4}$ tetrahedra. (b) Polyhedral representation of the $\left\{\mathrm{Cd}_{4} \mathrm{Ge}_{8} \mathrm{~V}_{10}\right\}$ cluster. Dark purple/blue, $\left[\mathrm{GeO}_{4}\right]$ tetrahedra; green, $\left[\mathrm{VO}_{x}\right]$ polyhedra; deep blue, $\left[\mathrm{CdO}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\right]$ polyhedra. (c) The 3-D framework constructed by bridging $\mathrm{GeO}_{4}$ tetrahedra, $\left\{\mathrm{Cd}_{4} \mathrm{Ge}_{8} \mathrm{~V}_{10}\right\}$ and $\left\{\mathrm{V}_{4}\right\}$ clusters. The en ligands and free water molecules are deleted for clarity. (d) The 3-D topology of $\mathbf{1 .}$
leading to a 3-D 10-connected framework accompanied by 1-D 10-membered ring (MR) dumbbell channels viewed along the [001] direction (Figure 3c). These channels are delimited by six $\mathrm{GeO}_{4}$ tetrahedra and four $\mathrm{CdO}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ trigonal prisms with an effective cross-section size of about $4.9 \times 10.5 \AA^{2}$, which are occupied by lattice water guests. The N -atoms of $\mathrm{CdO}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ trigonal prisms protrude into the $10-\mathrm{MR}$ channels. The
orientations of these dumbbell-shaped channels are perpendicular with each other. The 3-D topology of 1 can be simplified by thinking of $\left\{\mathrm{Cd}_{4} \mathrm{Ge}_{8} \mathrm{~V}_{10}\right\}$ units as nodes and $\mathrm{GeO}_{4}$ tetrahedra and $\left\{\mathbf{V}_{4}\right\}$ clusters as linkers (Figure 3d). Thus, its 3-D structure belongs to the bct topology with a point-symbol of $3^{12} \cdot 4^{28} \cdot 5^{5}$. If there is no bridging $\left\{\mathbf{V}_{4}\right\}$ cluster, a similar 3-D framework can also exist in $\mathbf{1}$ (Figure S4, Supporting Information), because each $\left\{\mathbf{C d}_{4} \mathbf{G e}_{8} \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{1 0}}\right\}$ unit has four pairs of $\mathrm{GeO}_{4}$ tetrahedral corners available for further covalent bonding to eight $\mathrm{GeO}_{4}$ tetrahedra to form the 3-D framework. However, the related VAOs, originating from the $\left[\mathrm{V}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{42}\right]$ shell through substituting two diagonal $\mathrm{VO}_{5}$ square pyramids using $\left[\mathrm{As}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}\right.$ ] groups, cannot link to each other, mainly due to the fact that the unshared electron lone pair on As (III) atoms effectively terminate the expansion of the structure. The results enable us to speculate that the $\left[\mathrm{Ge}_{2 m} \mathrm{~V}_{16-m} \mathrm{O}_{42+2 m}\right](m=2,3$, 4) segments based on the $\left[\mathrm{V}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{42}\right]$ shell can act as specific connectors to build various 1-, 2-, and even 3-D VGOs.

The third appealing characteristic in 1 is that the bridging role of the $\mathrm{GeO}_{4}$ tetrahedra is unfamiliar in POM chemistry while the reported 1-, 2-, and 3-D POMs are usually formed by a combination of POM units and linkers such as organic bridging ligands, ${ }^{2 \mathrm{~b}, 16}$ simple metal cations, ${ }^{2 \mathrm{~d}, 17}$ or complex cations. ${ }^{18}$ Some extended lattices are built by the clusters via the oxygen atom linkers. ${ }^{1 \mathrm{~b}, 19}$ A few extended frameworks are based on POM cores linked by single nonmetal tetrahedra such as $\mathrm{PO}_{4}{ }^{3-}$ and $\mathrm{SiO}_{4}^{4-}$ anions. ${ }^{20}$ Only one observed exception is $\mathrm{Cs}_{10.5}\left[\left(\mathrm{~V}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{40}\right)\left(\mathrm{Si}_{4.5} \mathrm{~V}_{1.5} \mathrm{O}_{10}\right)\right] \cdot 3.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O},{ }^{5 f}$ in which the $\left[\mathrm{V}_{16} \mathrm{Si}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{46}\right]$ unit has four disordered $\left[\left(\mathrm{V}_{1.5} \mathrm{Si}_{0.5}\right) \mathrm{O}_{4}\right]$ nonmetal/TM tetrahedra corner-sharing with two pairs of $\mathrm{SiO}_{4}$ tetrahedra of the shells to form the infinite chain. However, the 3-D framework of $\mathbf{1}$ is the first POM cluster unit linked by main-group metal tetrahedral $\mathrm{GeO}_{4}$ bridges until now.

More interestingly, there are two types of the chains in $\mathbf{1}$. One is the straight chain built by two kinds of clusters, $\left\{\mathbf{C d}_{4} \mathbf{G e}_{8} \mathbf{V}_{10}\right\}$ and $\left\{\mathbf{V}_{4}\right\}$, along the $c$-axis (Figure 4a). The fact that two such different cluster units are observed in an organic-inorganic hybrid HPOV 1 is rare because the most extended POM frameworks are usually based on the same cluster units. ${ }^{16-20}$ The other is the sinusoid-like $\left[\mathrm{GeO}_{3}\right]_{n}^{2 n-}$ chain constructed by repeated corner bridging of tetrahedral $\mathrm{GeO}_{4}{ }^{4-}$ anions. The individual chains are shifted by $c / 2$ against each other and connected via $\mathrm{CdO}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ trigonal prisms, forming the layers with $14-\mathrm{MR}$ windows in the (100) or (010) plane (Figure 4b,c). Then, such layers are linked in an antiparallel fashion via $\mathrm{CdO}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ trigonal prisms along the [100] or [010] direction to construct a 3-D framework (Figure 4d), in which there are three types of channels delimited by $\mathrm{CdO}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ trigonal prisms and $\mathrm{GeO}_{4}$ tetrahedra. The first is the 14-MR elliptical channels along the [100] or [010] direction (Figure 4d), and the remaining two types are the 8 -MR circular channels and 10 MR dumbbell channels along the $c$-axis (Figures $\mathrm{SSa}-\mathrm{c}$, Supporting Information). One $\left\{\mathbf{V}_{4}\right\}$ cluster links two $\left[\mathrm{V}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{17}\right]$ units to form a linear $\mathrm{V}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{17}-\left\{\mathrm{V}_{4}\right\}-\mathrm{V}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{17}$ segment that are filled in the $14-\mathrm{MR}$ channels (Figure S5e,f, Supporting Information), in which the $\left[\mathrm{V}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{17}\right]$ units interact with the pore wall via the covalent bonds and play a dual role in stabilizing the framework and structurally modified aspect (Figure 4e). The 10-MR dumbbell channel is built up from four $\left[\mathrm{GeO}_{3}\right]_{n}^{2 n-}$ chains linked by $\mathrm{CdO}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ trigonal prisms along the $4_{1}$ screw axis (Figure 4f). Such a 3-D framework is closely related to those of ASU-24-26, ${ }^{21}$ FDZG-3, ${ }^{22}$ and NGH-5, ${ }^{23}$ which all contain the sinusoid-like $\left[\mathrm{GeO}_{3}\right]_{n}^{2 n-}$ chains. A


Figure 4. (a) The 1-D chain built by both $\left\{\mathrm{V}_{4}\right\}$ and $\left\{\mathrm{Cd}_{4} \mathrm{Ge}_{8} \mathrm{~V}_{10}\right\}$ clusters. (b and c) The 2-D layers with 14-MR windows based on the combination of 1-D $\left[\mathrm{GeO}_{3}\right]_{n}^{2 n-}$ chains and $\left[\mathrm{CdO}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\right]$ trigonal prisms. (d) The 3-D framework linked by the layers shown in Figure 4b-c, showing 14-MR channels. (e) The $\left\{\mathrm{V}_{4}\right\}$ clusters and pentanuclear [ $\mathrm{V}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{17}$ ] units filled in 14-MR channels. (f) View of the tubular made of four $\left[\mathrm{GeO}_{3}\right]_{n}^{2 n-}$ chains marked by different colors.
common structural feature of these reported $\left[\mathrm{GeO}_{3}\right]_{n}^{2 n-}$ chains is the same periodic unit formed by three corner-sharing $\mathrm{GeO}_{4}$ tetrahedra (Figure S6a, Supporting Information), while the $\left[\mathrm{GeO}_{3}\right]_{n}{ }^{2 n-}$ chains in 1 exhibit a new periodic unit containing six corner-sharing tetrahedra for a complete sinusoid-like period of $11.5 \AA$ (Figures S5d, 6b, Supporting Information). So far, only one 3-D framework cadmium-germanate was observed, in which the $\left[\mathrm{Cd}_{2}(\mathrm{en})_{3}\right]^{4+}$ cations as the nonbridging groups are regularly appended to the 3-D framework, ${ }^{24}$ while the $[\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{en})]^{2+}$ cations in $\mathbf{1}$ as the linkers directly bond the $\left[\mathrm{GeO}_{3}\right]_{n}^{2 n-}$ chains to build the 3-D framework.

Magnetic Properties. Variable-temperature magnetic behaviors for $\mathbf{1}$ and 2 have been measured at $2-300 \mathrm{~K}$ in an external field of 5 kOe (Figures 5,6). At room temperature, the


Figure 5. (a) Plot of $\chi_{M}$ and $\chi_{M} T$ versus $T$ for 1 between 2 and 300 K . (b) Plot of $\chi_{M}{ }^{-1}$ versus $T$ for 1 . The simulation of the Curie-Weiss law to susceptibility data generates the red solid line between 38 and 300 K , giving rise to $C=2.24 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{~K}$ and $\theta=-61.01 \mathrm{~K}$.


Figure 6. (a) Plot of $\chi_{M}$ and $\chi_{M} T$ versus $T$ for 1 between 2 and 300 K . (b) Plot of $\chi_{M}{ }^{-1}$ versus $T$ for 1 . The simulation of the Curie-Weiss law to susceptibility data generates the red solid line between 34 and 300 K , giving rise to $C=2.27 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{~K}$ and $\theta=-45.73 \mathrm{~K}$.
$\chi_{\mathrm{M}} T$ of $1.84 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{~K}$ for $\mathbf{1}$ and $1.96 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{~K}$ for $\mathbf{2}$ is much lower than the theoretical value of $7.45 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{~K}$ for 10 noninteracting $\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{IV}}(S=1 / 2)$ and 4 isolated $\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{III}}(S=1)$ cations considering $g=2.00$. Upon cooling, the $\chi_{M} T$ value declines rapidly to $0.66 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{~K}$ for 1 and $0.72 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$. K for 2 at 12 K and then descends promptly from 12 K and reaches the minimal value of $0.39 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{~K}$ for $\mathbf{1}$ and 0.45 $\mathrm{cm}^{3} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{~K}$ for 2 at 2 K . The above profile suggests that overall antiferromagnetic couplings exist in $\mathbf{1}$ and 2 . The $\chi_{\mathrm{M}}{ }^{-1}$ versus $T$ conforms to the Curie-Weiss law between 38 and 300 K for 1 and between 34 and 300 K for 2 with $C=2.24 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$. $\mathrm{mol}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{~K}$ and $\theta=-61.01 \mathrm{~K}$ for $\mathbf{1}$ and $C=2.27 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{~K}$ and $\theta=-45.73 \mathrm{~K}$ for 2 , respectively, which further verify the presence of the antiferromagnetic couplings between $\mathrm{V}^{\text {IV }}$ and $\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{II}}$ ions in both cases.

IR Spectra and TGA. The IR spectra of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ exhibit the characteristic vibration absorption bands of VGOs (Figure S7, Supporting Information). The strong absorption bands at $1000-944 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ are assigned to the $\nu(\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{O})$ stretching vibrations, and the absorption bands at $776-668 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ are derived from the common contribution of the $\nu(\mathrm{Ge}-\mathrm{O})$ and $\nu(\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{Ge}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{Ge})$ stretching vibration modes. ${ }^{6 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{c}}$ The resonance bands centered at 3341-3265 and 2963-2876 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ are respectively attributable to the $\nu\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)$ and $\nu\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ stretching vibrations, which confirm the presence of organic amine groups. The broadened band centered at 3450-3433 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ is indicative of the $\nu(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H})$ stretching vibration in water molecules. The TGA curve of $\mathbf{1}$ (Figure S8, Supporting Information) shows a sharp weight loss of $4.94 \%$ occurring between 25 and $145^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, corresponding to the liberation of free waters (calcd 4.71\%), and then a gradual weight loss of $11.25 \%$ from 150 to $770^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ is attributed to the loss of en ligands (calcd $6.98 \%$ ) and the liberation of coordinated water molecules (calcd 4.18\%). For 2, the TGA curve manifests a slow threestep weight loss process with the overall weight loss of $18.03 \%$ between 30 and $708^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, which can be assigned to the removal of water and dap components (calcd 17.22\%). The experimental values match the calculated values from their formulas.

## CONCLUSIONS

We have successfully introduced the secondary TM (herein is cadmium) to a VGO cluster backbone and obtained two unprecedented 3-D tetra-Cd ${ }^{\mathrm{II}}$-substituted VGOs under hydrothermal conditions, which represent the first 3-D frameworks built by the largest number of TM-substituted VGO $\left\{\mathrm{Cd}_{4} \mathrm{Ge}_{8} \mathrm{~V}_{10}\right\}$ cluster shells linked by tetrahedral $\mathrm{GeO}_{4}$ units and $\left\{\mathbf{V}_{4}\right\}$ clusters. Hence, both $\mathbf{1}$ and 2 not only offer two exciting TM-substituted HPOVs but also suggest the feasibility
of other TM-substituted VGOs, which will broaden the frontier field of POM chemistry.
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